British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
SEPTEMBER 1985
12p
BNP

RIOT ARSON MURDER

Multi-racial dream goes up in smoke in Handsworth

HANDSWORTH
Shops ablaze on the night of September 9th.

NEXT DAY
Police and firemen inspect the destruction.

RACE WAR came to the Birmingham suburb of Handsworth on the night of Monday, September 9th. A mob of youths, predominantly black, ran riot throughout the area, attacking innocent by-standers and looting and setting fire to shops in a frenzy of violence which ended in 3 people getting killed.

All this came at the end of a West Indian carnival which had been advertised, like the Notting Hill one in London, as a festival of 'racial harmony'.

According to media reports the violence was sparked off by a quite trivial incident in which police gave a young Black a parking ticket. The officers concerned were immediately surrounded by a hostile black mob and had to radio for help — which only came after one of them had been assaulted and a nearby bingo hall set on fire. Britain's young Blacks have in many cases been encouraged by our authorities to believe that they are immune to the ordinary processes of British law, and these blacks were apparently inflamed by the prospect of one of them being booked for a parking offence!

The rioting in Handsworth then spread and got, according to one police spokesman, completely "out of hand". In TV newsflashes it was said that the police had largely abandoned the area to violence and terror, just waiting by and doing nothing. This could have been partially because they were present in inadequate strength and needed to wait for reinforcements, but it was also no doubt largely the result of a 'softly, softly' policy operated by police in response to any trouble involving ethnic minorities — a policy laid down by government.

This 'softly, softly' policy should not be blamed on ordinary police constables and their immediate superiors, most of whom strongly oppose it; it is a policy imposed upon them by the police 'top brass', who are heavily embroiled in the politics of 'race relations' and compliant to the dictates of the Home Office on that subject.

But the fact remains that the people who suffer from this policy are the ordinary law-abiding folk living in Britain's inner cities, who are all to often left to the tender mercies of rampaging black mobs while police are ordered to keep a low profile.

On the night of September 9th it was the turn of the natives of Handsworth and surrounding areas to suffer this fate. Many of them are now incensed by the fact that they received inadequate police protection against the rioters, looters, arsonists and murderers who set their neighbourhood ablaze.

What happened in Handsworth is of course nothing new. It simply is yet another reminder to us — and there have been ample number before — of the disastrous failure of the experiment of multi-racialism, imposed upon the people of Britain without their consent by the Old Gang of politicians.

LAW AND ORDER: GOVERNMENT HAS SIMPLY ABDICATED

MRS. THATCHER loves to pose as a strong 'law and order' Prime Minister and her Government as a strong 'law and order' government.

The fact is, however, that the present Tory Government is probably the weakest and flabbiest the nation has ever had.

Behind the big puff of 'law and order' rhetoric, the Government has in effect abdicated its responsibility to uphold and enforce the law over large areas of Britain.

Police response to the recent rioting in Handsworth was wholly inadequate. While some allowance must be made for the fact that, in the early stages of the violence, police were insufficient in numbers to cope properly, they most certainly could have made better use of the numbers available — by the simple action of a firmer line with the rioters.

Tear gas and plastic bullets were an obvious option. One officer explained the decision not to use the former on the grounds that the direction of the wind might have blown it back into the faces of his men, or else into nearby houses. This left the choice of plastic bullets, which would have been a commonsense procedure. According to the same officer, the decision not to use these was "political". The local Police Committee (which includes coloured immigrants) would, he acknowledged, have been displeased!

So, he might also have said, would the Home Office, which has laid down a kid-gloves policy for all police forces operating in immigrant areas.

And even if tear gas was ruled out on the grounds stated there was another weapon — water cannon. This is not permitted to British police forces anywhere, although the breakdown of public order in this country has long gone past the point at which we can afford to do without it.

As a result of these pussyfooting police tactics — for which, we repeat, we blame the politicians and not the ordinary policemen, who simply carry out their orders — law-abiding people were left badly unprotected in Handsworth at the height of the rioting. As we know, this had fatal consequences.

There is then the ultimate option of **firearms**. Many will perhaps think that this represents too extreme a measure for use in Britain, with its traditions of peaceful policing. Extreme it may indeed have been some years ago, when we did not have to cope with anything approaching the violence employed by the rioting Blacks in Handsworth. But times have changed: entirely alien forms of lawlessness have been imported into Britain, and it is high time that we recognised that to cope with these we may have to resort to methods of law enforcement that would have been considered alien in days past. The South African police, when faced with a far more serious scale of rioting by Black mobs, did not hesitate to shoot in order to protect the lives of law-abiding citizens. Things have reached the point at which Britain's police should, where necessary, be similarly equippped and given similar options.

But in fact at Handsworth all the police had were their riot shields — a purely **defensive** aid — and small truncheons — alright for dealing with the odd unruly drunk but hopeless against hundreds of berserk mobsters using petrol bombs.

Birmingham is not the only area where this soft policy operates. Elsewhere police are being hamstrung in the carrying out of their duties in places where 'ethnic minorities' might be involved. In Toxteth, Liverpool, large numbers of police officers have been angered at the fact that they are not allowed to pursue investigations of crime in the area, particularly in respect of drug trafficking — which is rife there. Recently local police made representations to the Police Federation protesting against the designation of parts of Toxteth as 'no-go' areas. It was stated that black groups and left-wingers were running the force in the area and many officers were on the point of resigning as a result of not being allowed to do their jobs properly. What was not stated, but what is in fact true, is that the curbs on police operated by local Blacks and leftists are in accordance with policies approved of by the Tory Government.

Said one officer: "The problem is that some ethnic groups are escaping surveillance and all that goes with it."

It is no different in London. The

Contd. on back page

British
Nationalist

No. 49 SEPT. 1985

Editor: John Morse

END THIS CON-TRICK!

IT IS NOW more than 6 years since Mrs. Margaret Thatcher was elected to office on a platform of patriotism, law and order and economic recovery. Her public image was originally the product of a high-powered public relations hype, conducted by a slick advertising agency, Saatchi and Saatchi, run by two brothers of Iraqi Jewish origin, recruited by the Tory Party's publicity managers.

Mrs. Thatcher was, the electorate was assured, the "Iron Lady" who would oppose Britain's post-war decline, with stern determination, to reverse the decay and restore our country to its position of greatness in the world. We think that time enough has now gone by for a verdict to be given. There is no better way to do this than to compare the Prime Minister's words and image with her deeds, or lack of them.

Today Mrs. Thatcher is as full as ever of the forceful rhetoric with which her speech-writers and image-makers surround her. But in every area of national life the hard realities contradict the rhetoric.

The "Iron Lady" nickname was acquired in connection with Mrs. Thatcher's alleged determination to resist Communism in the world and build up Britain's defences. Within months of gaining office she had tricked Rhodesia into a handover of power to black communist terrorists. Shortly after this she sought cuts to Britain's navy which could have led to catastrophe in the Falklands.

It can be argued that under Mrs. Thatcher's premiership law and order have collapsed in a manner not seen for centuries. Riots, greater than any in living memory, convulsed our inner cities in 1981. Since then there has been nothing but cowardly appeasement, with whole areas abandoned to muggers, criminal mobs and the agitators behind them.

We are continually told, as industries fold and unemployment rises under the unhindered invasion of foreign goods and the operations of international financial parasites, that there is "no alternative" to Tory economic policies, which must be "resolutely" followed to the end. But economically successful nations do not give the total freedom to foreigners to capture their home markets, often using unfair forms of competition, for which Mrs. Thatcher is so enthusiastic. All that Mrs. Thatcher's "only alternative" can end up in is Britain's economic destruction.

On race and immigration Mrs. Thatcher claimed during the 1979 election to be sympathetic to the fears of Britons that they were "being swamped" by alien cultures, as she put it. Within months she was admitting thousands of Vietnamese Boat People. The electorate was led to believe that a nationality act

Contd. on back page

EAST END WHITES FIGHT ALIEN TAKE-OVER

MOVES have recently started among Whites in the East End of London to defend their communities against the multi-racialist tyranny which is being forced upon them by the anti-white traitors of the established parties, mainly but not exclusively Labour reds, who hold power over all aspects of life in the local boroughs.

A new organisation called *Fair Play* has come into existence as a result of the increasing anger of Britons against their treatment as second-class citizens by these anti-British traitors. *Fair Play* has taken a leading role in exposing the anti-white discrimination and persecution which these evil subversives have deliberately promoted in the area.

The vicious local reds, liberals and cynical careerists of the established parties have set the framework for an "ethnic minority" takeover at the expense of the white community. Predictably, the alien racial elements themselves have not been slow to take advantage of the opportunity, which has been handed to them, of squeezing out the indigenous British population. The racial minority pressures are being intensified in the areas of schools, housing and jobs and, under the incitement of local communists (both within and outside the Labour Party), there is a growing undercurrent of racial violence.

The arrogance of the multi-racialist tyrants is shown in recent insulting remarks against the native British by leftist GLC Councillor for Bethnal Green and Bow and Chairman of the Inner London Education Authority (ILEA), Sir Ashley Bramall. Bramall said that white East Enders do not care about their children's education, and suggested that they are culturally inferior to Bengalis, whose language they should learn. Local mothers were so outraged that they organised a petition against Bramall.

Further expressions of the concern felt by local Britons for their children's wellbeing, and their wish to defend them from victimisation at the hands of the race-mixers, have been made in the response to the campaign of Asianisation in the schools. There are increasing reports of British children being taught Bengali without their parents' knowledge, made to sing Asian songs and instructed in Islam. When red teachers at a Stepney Green school proposed that mathematics should be taught in Bengali, furious parents threatened to withdraw their children.

ANTI-WHITE POLICY

Hackney Council deliberately pursues an anti-white housing policy. A "race tax" is to be introduced whereby white tenants will pay £150 a year more in rent than Blacks. Because of the fury which greeted the announcement of this plan last August, further

BATTERED BY BLACK THUGS
Emily Shine (seen here in hospital) was attacked in her East End home by three black burglars, who beat her senseless before ransacking the premises. Emily is a deaf and dumb diabetic.

details of the scheme are not being made public. £150 per individual was to be granted to black households as "compensation for poor housing", but white families living in slum conditions will not receive a penny of such compensation. The District Auditor believes rents will have to be increased to pay for the multi-million pound package.

Council policy also includes:—

- Priority for Blacks on housing transfer list.
- Sheltered housing blocks exclusively for black old age pensioners.
- Whites to be allocated housing on low-quality estates.

To back up these policies Hackney Council requested a report from the Commission for Racial Equality (CRE). This is entitled "Race and Council Housing in Hackney." *Fair Play* points out that the figures in the CRE's own report do not in fact even support the claim that Blacks suffer any overall disadvantage in the allocation of housing! The whole thing was a put-up job between Hackney Council and the CRE (one of the most poisonous anti-British public bodies) to provide an excuse for anti-white policies in the guise of compensating Blacks for "discrimination" which could not genuinely be proved to exist.

Similarly, in the area of employment the same council is taking steps to hand over the Borough to its alien racial elements. Section 38 of the 1976 Race Relations Act permits "racial quotas" in employment where one racial group is "under-represented" in relation to its numerical proportion in the population. Thus Hackney has announced that it will discriminate against Whites until 42 per-cent of its staff and officers are non-white. However, it intends to raise that figure as time goes by and will set higher quotas in certain departments.

NO PROMOTION

For white staff at the Town Hall it means no promotion to officer level, since the proportion of coloured officers is to be raised from 10 per-cent to 42 per-cent in a few years. The left-wing dominated trade unions will be only too happy to sell their members down the river. When a woman worker on the neighbouring borough council of Islington, dominated (like Hackney) by red fanatics and sexual perverts, was hauled before a tribunal after being denounced as a "racist", the leaders of her union (NALGO) of which she was a fee-paying member and whose assistance she had a right to expect in providing her with representation, chose to join in the denunciation and call for the stiffest penalties against her! So much for the Labour Party and trade union "friends of the workers"!

These (in reality) friends of the Blacks will make certain that often incompetent and hostile Asians and Blacks are promoted to positions of power on the local council over the heads of competent senior and hard-working Whites, without regard for merit.

Meanwhile these self-same friends of the Blacks spare no efforts in inciting hatred against Whites. The GLC's "Race and Housing Action Team" (RHAT), based at Bow Road, was established to protect the "victims of racial harassment", all of whom it claims to be "ethnic minority" members victimised by Whites. RHAT's November 1984 report, claiming that 98 per-cent of victims in the East End were Bengalis, provided the basis for press and TV reports making out that the Bengali community lived in a state of constant fear of attack by Whites.

HARRASSMENT OF WHITES

Fair Play quotes to the contrary the plight of Britons living on an Asian-dominated Shadwell estate. One resident, Mrs. Green, has recounted numerous instances of harassment by Asians against Whites in which neither local authorities nor press and mass media show the smallest interest. The account of the attacks on her family, beginning in 1980, includes the following:—

— The eldest boy had broken glass thrown in his eyes by an Asian boy. He later had his ear slashed by an Asian wielding the inside of a rubber tyre.

— Mrs. Green's daughter, aged 8, was subjected to an attempted sexual attack by an Asian boy. On complaining to the boy's father, Mrs. Green was told, "In our country girls are broken in well before 8."

— The same Asian man later threatened Mrs. Green with a knife in an argument about urine and excrement in the passage-ways and lifts. She was then told: "Whites should get out of the estate."

— The youngest son, and a friend, both aged 5, had their ball confiscated by an Asian woman, who came at them with a hammer and chisel, shouting, "Whites should not play ball."

— Other attacks have occurred involving the use of kitchen knives, pen knives, crowbars and numchukahs. Sticks and bricks have been thrown at the children and through windows.

— Mrs. Green has been told by her Asian neighbours: "Whites have no right here," "All Whites are trash," and even "Whites go back to your own country" (*sic*)! Racial swear words (e.g. "white whore", "white bastard") are frequent.

— Police have consistently refused to take action, except for one caution given to an Asian boy found with offensive weapons after an incident. Excuses for this inaction include "Our hands are tied in this Borough" and "We don't want to risk getting transferred."

— One British old age pensioner on the estate lives in a flat with metal grids across the windows. He is described as being like a "prisoner". His Asian neighbours need no such protection.

COVER-UP

The authorities are anxious to conceal such anti-white harassment because they are ultimately responsible for it. The anti-white Labour Party communists who run the GLC's RHAT organisation have invented allegations of white intimidation of Asians which have later been exposed as pure lies. Their obvious intention in doing so has been to incite ethnic minority elements into a mob so as to drive decent Whites, who would naturally tend to oppose their revolution, out of the area.

TERRORISED OUT OF HER HOME
Margaret Carney (above), a 75-year-old grandmother, was terrorised out of her flat in Bethnal Green by a wave of break-ins and muggings. According to her fellow tenants, council policies have turned the estate into a "thieves' paradise".

THE 5-STAR TREATMENT
Asian Ali Begum, seen here with his not inconsiderable family, was given a six-bedroomed super flat by the GLC. The flat was built out of two previous smaller flats at a cost of £18,000.

Currently a Race Relations Act prosecution is being brought against 69 tenants from Clark Street, Exmouth Estate, Stepney. The Race Act tyrants are taking these ordinary and decent Britons to court for the "crime" of signing a petition against the introduction of any more Asians into their street. The tenants do not wish to get caught up in racial violence. To the inquisitors of the Commission for Racial Equality this amounts to "race hatred". This case, beginning in October, is the first occasion on which the right to petition has ever been questioned in a British court of law.

Fair Play has given expression to an instinct among Britons to defend their communities. This healthy instinct, to be finally effective, must become part of the broader struggle for race and nation which is represented by British Nationalism.

WHERE THE BLAME LIES

In pointing out, as we have done on these pages, incidents of crime and anti-social behaviour by members of racial minority groups, we wish to make it clear that we recognise msny members of those groups to be perfectly decent and law-abiding. We urge the repatriation of Britain's coloured immigrant communities in the interests of their own law-abiding members as well as those of the host community.

We strongly advise those white British people who are angered by the facts presented here NOT to take their anger out on ordinary coloured people but to direct it at those politicians responsible for the creation of the race problem in Britain.

TORY POLICIES WRECKING ECONOMY

THATCHERISM LEADING TO WORSENING CLASS WAR

by JOHN MORSE

UNDER the present Tory Government the long-standing mentality of class-war, which has for generations afflicted Britain with social and industrial division, has in no way abated. By its weakness and cynicism the Thatcher administration has allowed the climate to persist in which the industrial wrecker of the Scargill or McGahey variety can thrive.

MRS. THATCHER
Her policies inevitably create national division

The extent to which Britain as a whole has been made to pay for this failure of national leadership has just recently become evident from figures published showing the cost of the year-long miners' strike, during which the Government stood back, allowing industrial and social chaos to reign. The whole of Britain's industrial structure has been hit to the tune of £5 billion.

The Coal Board has announced losses for 1984-85 of £2.2 billion, whilst the electricity supply industry incurred overall losses of £2 billion. On top of these stupendous losses, British Rail have announced a deficit, due to the strike, of £408 million, and losses to British Steel total £175 million. The effect on service and component industries brings the total up to the full £5 billion. These figures leave out the legacy of bitterness which continues to divide Britons among themselves in face of a hostile world.

RED AGITATORS

On one side of the "class war" divide stand the red agitators, such as Scargill, the professional wreckers of the Marxist left, banging the drum of communist revolution with their nonsensical doctrine that there is a "worldwide working class" with a common interest in wiping out any form of responsible economic leadership. In practice these elements aim to advance THEMSELVES, using the trade unions and Labour Party, whilst laying the national economy in ruins. Many of this Marxist left are not workers at all but drugged-up dropouts of the polytechnic brigade.

These red termites insinuate themselves into positions of power by latching onto workers' grievances, often quite legitimate in themselves, in such a way as to incite a mindless campaign of destruction against the whole organisation of industry. None of this has the smallest connection with the real interests of British workers, in whose name these red parasites have the impertinence to speak. The worker wants stable employment, at a decent living wage, and to be treated with the proper respect and consideration at work.

In the miners' strike, the quite understandable and praiseworthy wish of miners themselves to preserve their communities and their employment, in an industry vital to Britain's future, was wickedly exploited by the NUM leadership in an industrial confrontation entirely motivated by the political ambitions of Scargill and his allies on the Marxist and Labour Party left. But it was the Government which fed Scargill and McGahey the issue they needed for their agitation. Their closure plan for the pits did not take into account the interests of mining communities, any more than it did the need to conserve productive capacity in the coal industry for Britain's future energy needs.

When the NUM carried its industrial action to wild excess, in a mad strike calculated to attack the nation's entire economy (including the infliction of the maximum damage on the coal industry itself which Scargill and Co. claimed they wanted to save!), the Government proved itself unable to preserve either law and order in general, or the interests of the miners who wished to work. They had previously failed to provide rank-and-file workers with any protection against the tyranny of the worst red union bosses by making strike action legally conditional on the result of a secret ballot.

In the Government's general conduct of economic policy there is nothing which could conceivably serve to inspire workers' confidence or sustain their morale. The Tories openly admit to their commitment to a policy of leaving Britain's domestic market wide open to the penetration of goods from Japan, the EEC and the Communist Bloc. Equally openly they favour a financial system which freely allows the parasites of international banking to export investment capital and, with it, British jobs. Theirs is a policy of unemployment for British workers to the advantage of aliens.

BAD CLIMATE

Against this background the Government has, on the showing given in a recent report on industrial management, promoted a climate in which a short-sighted and narrow-minded element among managers in industry feel that they now have the opportunity to crack the whip over their workforce, as mass unemployment gives them the power to adopt dictatorial attitudes over pay and working conditions — to the ultimate sole advantage of the red agitator. And so the mindless "class war" attitudes become entrenched as management and labour get organised into opposing armies for industrial war, capitalising on each other's misfortunes.

All the time the economy as a whole suffers as the "class warriors" of the left and the financial interests connected with the orthodox right, both equally internationalist and anti-British in their outlook, continue to attack and plunder a divided nation without hindrance. At no time does any constructive idea for the rebuilding of the national economy come from either the left or right of the system and its political parties.

OUR ANSWER

ONLY NATIONALISM CAN OVERCOME CLASS WAR. The workers' interest is in a strong British national economy. This means:—

1) PROTECTING BRITISH MANUFACTURING, by the progressive exclusion of imported goods from the British home market. An expanding home market will gradually eliminate unemployment. Overmanning can be solved without creating unemployment, by the relocation of labour from the sectors of industry worst affected into those sectors which are growing in order to meet the expanding domestic demand for goods and services.

2) INVESTMENT CAPITAL MUST BE DIRECTED INTO THE BRITISH ECONOMY, not into the economies of its competitors for the profit of international finance, or private individuals, against the national interest. Foreign investment in British industry cannot be permitted on terms which permit, as at present, decisions to be made in foreign boardrooms involving the closure of factories in Britain, the sacking of their British workers and the reinvestment of their capital overseas.

3) BANKING AND FINANCE MUST BE MADE TO SERVE THE BRITISH NATIONAL INTEREST. New money must be issued by the state, debt-free, into the economy as a proportion of public expenditure, adjusted in accordance with the increase in national product. Private bankers must operate within this financial framework, offering a service to the national economy by lending to enterprises at rates of interest which represent an economic charge for that service.

4) THE ANTIQUATED STRUCTURE OF BRITAIN'S INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS MUST BE COMPLETELY DISMANTLED. "Class war" attitudes must give way to industrial co-operation for the national good. Contrary to the nonsensical doctrines of the left there need not be any irreconcilable clash of interests between management and workers. Both together ultimately form a single unit within the framework of a division of labour dictated by the technical process of production. Their co-existence and co-operation is essential, if any economic activity is to take place at all. All trade unions and employers' associations must be incorporated in a single national body, responsible to the Government, co-ordinating the entire economy and acting as an impartial arbitrator in industrial disputes, its decisions having the force of law.

The industrial and social harmony of Britain, essential to the nation's economic stability and prosperity, can only be achieved within a framework of strong national leadership, which is clearly seen to have the national interest at heart, over and above all and any "class" interests.

SYMPTOM OF CLASS CONFLICT
Picket violence during the miners' strike

Ulster sell out comes closer

BRITISH NATIONALIST **recently reported the secret top-level talks between Mrs. Thatcher and Irish Prime Minister Garrett Fitzgerald, angled at a "joint sovereignty" solution, whereby the Irish Republic would share in the running of the British Province of Ulster as a prelude to its being forced into a United Ireland. It is now reported that Mrs. Thatcher has approved a deal with the Eire Government which will give Dublin a say in how the Province is ruled.**

When details of the proposed deal were disclosed, both Margaret Thatcher and the Eire authorities tried to deny its existence. Mrs. Thatcher is eager to conceal this act of betrayal from the leaders of Ulster's loyal British majority whom she is treating with the customary cynical dishonesty dealt out by her Government to British people everywhere.

The terms of this deal, already approved by the British Cabinet, involve the creation of a "Joint Office" in Belfast where ministers and security chiefs from both Governments would discuss and co-ordinate policies in the North and South to smooth the way for an Eire takeover. A Security Commission would be created, involving the top men of the RUC and the Irish Police. Eventually the Ulster Defence Regiment (UDR), the Province's front line of defence against Republican terrorism, many of whose members have been killed in the war against the IRA, would probably be abolished in keeping with the Irish Republic's demands. Thus Eire Government ministers and officials are to be given the power to promote repressive policies against British people on British territory!

Further, the new Belfast Office would assume governmental powers over all aspects of life. Ulster's industrial policies, agriculture and tourism, as well as systems of law and order, would be subject to "co-ordination" with those of the Irish Republic. The whole tendency would be to separate the Province from Great Britain by a combination of growing repression of the local population and stealthy surrender of authority.

The Thatcher proposals have been submitted to Fitzgerald for his approval, which the British Cabinet has concluded will be forthcoming. It seems that Margaret Thatcher (the "Iron Lady" of Tory propaganda — a typical time-serving political hack of the liberal internationalist ruling establishment in fact) is not merely inviting but begging the leaders of a foreign state to interfere in the affairs of a part of Great Britain!

When, in his gracious goodness, Garrett Fitzgerald agrees to the arrangement, another "summit meeting" with Mrs. Thatcher is said to be planned for October in Dublin.

International pressures in favour of a united Republican Ireland from Common Market countries and from President Reagan have been partly instrumental in creating the climate wherein the Thatcher Government and the Establishment believe they can get away with sloughing off a Province of Britain (which they have come to regard as a "burden" because they do not have the guts to defend British people or British territory) by handing it over to a foreign country.

The lack of any will to fight the IRA was highlighted in a recent *British Nationalist* report by our correspondent Gus McLeod, who described Ulster Secretary Douglas Hurd's clandestine get-together with the godfathers of the IRA's "Murder Incorporated", including the terrorist gang's self-styled "Chief of Staff" Martin McGuinness. Possibly as a smokescreen to mask her true intentions from loyal Ulstermen and other patriotic Britons, Mrs. Thatcher has recently undertaken the ridiculous charade of denouncing the BBC over a programme featuring an interview with the very same McGuinness! In reality the anti-British treachery of the Establishment is as great in the Government as at the BBC.

Mrs. Thatcher has raised similar verbal smokescreens to conceal previous betrayals. She has used this tactic in selling out Rhodesia to black communist terrorists. She has also spread a fog of strong-sounding words about "law and order" to conceal from the British people the deliberate abandonment of our inner cities, by her Government, to rioters and muggers.

Meanwhile, in Northern Ireland Secretary of State Hurd has not

Contd. on back page

BNP foil meeting ban in West Country

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY held its scheduled meeting in the West of England on August 31st, but not without having to contend with a series of moves to prevent the meeting taking place.

The intended venue for the meeting had been in Bristol, but it proved impossible to obtain a local hall for the purpose, the City Council refusing to let any premises to the party. Eventually a hall was found in Chippenham, some 18 miles outside Bristol, and the party booked it for a meeting, as it was compelled to do, under another name.

Somewhere along the line the local police got to know of the venue and approached the owners, as a result of which the booking was cancelled. Party officials were only informed of this when they arrived in the area. Eventually, they secured an agreement whereby they were able to hold their meeting in an open outdoor space in Yate, a small town a short distance to the North of Bristol.

Of course in the circumstances it was impossible for the meeting to make any great impact on those on the spot, but the fact of holding it won the BNP massive local publicity, both in the run up to and following the meeting.

About 75 people were present at the event, and speeches were made by David Bearsford Walker, John Peacock, Tony Wells and Richard Edmonds.

Once again the BNP established that if it decides to hold a meeting it will not be stopped.

October rally in London

WE TAKE this opportunity to remind readers that the big BNP rally of the year will take place in London on Saturday, October 12th. We hope to give details in next months's issue, but we advise all BNP members to keep in touch with their local branches for information.

The date given here is the correct one, and not October 16th, as was stated in the last issue. We apologise for this error.

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British Revolution.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 18p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20	+ 34p post
25 copies £2.70	+ 98p post
50 copies £4.80	+ £1.72 post
100 copies £8.40	+ £2.10 post
150 copies £12.00	+ £2.30 post
200 copies £15.00	+ £2.50 post
300 copies £21.00	+ £2.65 post
400 copies £26.40	+ £2.95 post
500 copies £32.00	) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00	) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

PRO-SOUTH AFRICA DEMO IN GLASGOW

B.N.P. MEMBERS SUPPORT SOUTH AFRICA
• A shot of the demonstration outside the SA Consulate

GLASGOW BRANCH of the British National Party mounted a demonstration at the end of last month in support of South Africa in her attempt to deal with black insurrection. The demonstration took place on Saturday, August 31st and was held outside the South African Consulate in Glasgow. The demonstrators gave out leaflets attacking the black terrorists in South Africa. Many copies of *Spearhead* magazine were sold, and local Organiser Eric Brand reports that his lads got a very good reception from passers by — showing that a great many British people are not being fooled by the vicious anti-South African campaign being carried out by the mass media.

The demonstration was filmed by BBC Scotland and will be shown in a programme about 'racism' in Scotland, scheduled for broadcasting later this year.

JACK TIPPING

WITH GREAT REGRET we have heard of the death of BNP supporter Jack Tipping of Rochford. A full tribute to Mr. Tipping will be printed in next month's *Spearhead*.

SUCCESSFUL SUMMER CAMP

THIS YEAR'S SUMMER CAMP, held by the Devon and Cornwall BNP, was a much more successful and better attended event than last year. 25 people in attendance and came from Birmingham, Worcester and Devizes as well as from locally. The camp was held on a site near Tintagel, and despite the unfavourable weather a very good time was had by all.

The highlight was the barbecue and bonfire on the Saturday evening (August 24th) during which there was an individual tug-of-war contest across the fire, arm-wrestling and much other physical competition among the young men. There was also a 'scrumpy drinking' contest and a singing of Nationalist songs.

The enthusiasm for the camp was such that many have already booked for next year, when a much bigger attendance is likely.

The organisers of the camp would like to thank Glasgow Branch of the BNP for supplying 'BNP Summer Camp' badges.

ULSTER SELL OUT

(Contd. from prev. page)

been slow to practise repression against the loyal Ulster people in order to appease the anti-British Republican elements. Every Twelfth of July Loyalists celebrate their proud British heritage by holding traditional parades. For fear of offending the Republican enemies of Britain, Hurd made use of the Royal Ulster Constabulary to prevent the Loyalists of Portadown from taking their accustomed, and previously authorised, route through their town — shades of Establishment bans and repression against British Nationalists on Britain's mainland! Hurd thereby achieved something that the IRA could not: he succeeded in setting Loyal Ulstermen and their police force against each other.

Meanwhile, the IRA waits on the sidelines, confident that its policy of terror, its bombings, shootings and killings, will soon be rewarded with success by Britain's Prime Minister. It remains to be seen which community of British people, and which piece of British territory, Mrs. Thatcher intends to betray next.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

LAW AND ORDER

(Contd. from front page)

recent Notting Hill West Indian Carnival, hailed as a triumph for 'race harmony', was in fact a paradise for drug-pushers — due to the police being told to keep away and do nothing.

One newspaper report shortly following the Carnival said that "Detectives are furious after being ordered to keep away from a drug-dealing district because their presence could spark riots at this weekend's Notting Hill Carnival."

Apparently the All Saints Road area of Notting Hill — a 150-yard-long street controlled for years by gangs of black pushers — was declared a no-go area until the West Indian revellers had drifted away.

One police officer said: "It's not even softly-softly; it's an outright instruction to ease off all operations against street dealers until the Carnival is over."

Amazingly, Mrs. Thatcher recently had the nerve to stand up in public and declaim against the growing drug menace, stressing that her Government intended to take firm measures to deal with it!

But the reality is that the war against drugs, just like the war against other forms of crime, takes second place in the Government's mind to the job of maintaining good 'race relations' — which, translated into plain English, means letting black criminals again and again off the hook.

This is the record of the Government which took power in 1979, and again in 1983, on the basis of loud pledges to maintain law and order and counter the rising tide of crime.

In fact all the Government has done is abdicate in the face of the lawbreakers.

CON-TRICK

(Contd. from page 2)

would be introduced and that this would in some way stop Third World immigration and limit the number of non-whites eligible for British citizenship. No such effect whatever has come about. Meanwhile, in actual practice, the tyranny of the Race Relations Act remains fully in force, with Home Secretary Leon Brittan planning a still tighter law. Moreover, Mrs. Thatcher crawls to Asians and other alien minorities, whom she deliberately advances and promotes in her party and in the country at large.

On the Common Market and Britain's relations with Europe, Mrs. Thatcher puts on a great show of "standing resolutely" for Britain's sovereignty (as witness her much-publicised tantrums at EEC summits and her current "fury" over the way in which British law is being eroded by decisions of the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg). But at the end of it all Britain remains as ruinously tied to the EEC as ever and as much as ever under the dictatorship of the Market bureaucrats.

Over Ulster and the IRA, Mrs. Thatcher pretends to "stand firm" for the British status of the Province and against terrorism. But she secretly negotiates its betrayal to the Irish Republic with the Irish Prime Minister, while her Secretary for Ulster has clandestine meetings with IRA murderers.

Throughout the whole sorry catalogue it is a case of strong words being used to mask weak actions. The British people are given the words, whilst their enemies get the benefits of her actions. Only the words distinguish her in any way from any other establishment political hack of any party. Verbal trickery of this kind is unworthy of a true national leader, which Mrs. Thatcher certainly is not.

Her public image is wholly artificial.

We say, it is time for the con-trick to end.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS